UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/593,818              | 07/13/2007                                  | Peter Dam Nielsen    | 006921.00018        | 5485             |
| 22907<br>BANNER & W     | 7590 09/22/201 <sup>.</sup><br>ITCOFF, LTD. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1100 13th STRI          |   | HUYNH, NAM TRUNG     |                     |                  |
| SUITE 1200<br>WASHINGTO | N, DC 20005-4051                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                         |   |                      | 2617                |                  |
|                         |   |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |   |                      | 09/22/2010          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |    |  |
|-----------------|------------------|----|--|
| 10/593,818      | DAM NIELSEN, PET | ER |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |    |  |
|                 | 711 01111        |    |  |

| NA NA  | AM HUYNH   | 2617  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears   | on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION F  | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:  | ies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>with appeal fee) in compliance v<br>1.114. The reply must be filed v | , or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing dat   |  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advise no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to the property later to the period of the p | than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | date of the final rejection                               | n.                                       |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). C MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  | SNLT CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | FIRST REPLY WAS FIL                                       | LED WITHIN TWO                           |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensi under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later thar may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | on and the corresponding amount o<br>ened statutory period for reply origin                              | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance   | ce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f   | iled within two months                                    | s of the date of                         |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS   | n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p  |  |   | cause                                    |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consident   | eration and/or search (see NOT   | E below);   |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better fappeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | orm for appeal by materially red   | ucing or simplifying th                                   | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre   | esponding number of finally reie   | cted claims.  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 a  |  |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.   | See attached Notice of Non-Cor   | npliant Amendment (I                                      | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).   | ·  | -   | -  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |  | be entered and an ex                                      | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sur was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a New entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and   | come <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fails                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | the status of the claims after en  | try is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do   | es NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTC 13. Other:   | O/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |
| /George Eng/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617   |  |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant submits that the amendments to the dependent claims are merely of a clarifying nature, however some of the amendments raise new issues that require a further search and consideration. For instance claim 8 has been amended to recite that the time period is "predetermined" which further defines the time period as being established or known in advance. Accordingly the amendments will not be entered because of the scope change to some of the claims.